

1 Lincoln D. Bandlow, Esq. (CA #170449)
Lincoln@BandlowLaw.com
2 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**
1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400
3 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: (310) 556-9680
4 Fax: (310) 861-5550

5 Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike 3 Holdings, LLC
6
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,
12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP
address 76.95.181.191,
15 Defendant.
16

Case Number:

**COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT - DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL**

17
18 Plaintiff, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC (“Strike 3” or “Plaintiff”), brings this
19 complaint against Defendant, John Doe subscriber assigned IP address
20 76.95.181.191 (“Defendant”), and alleges as follows:

21 **Introduction**

22 1. This is a case about the ongoing and wholesale copyright
23 infringement of Plaintiff’s motion pictures by Defendant, currently known only by
24 an IP address.

25 2. Plaintiff is the owner of award-winning, critically acclaimed adult
26 motion pictures.

27 3. Strike 3’s motion pictures are distributed through the *Blacked*, *Tushy*,
28 *Vixen*, *Tushy Raw*, *Blacked Raw*, *MILFY*, and *Slayed* adult websites and DVDs.

1 With millions of unique visitors to its websites each month, the brands are famous
 2 for redefining adult content, creating high-end, artistic, and performer-inspiring
 3 motion pictures produced with a Hollywood style budget and quality.

4 4. Defendant is, in a word, stealing these works on a grand scale. Using
 5 the BitTorrent protocol, Defendant is committing rampant and wholesale
 6 copyright infringement by downloading Strike 3's motion pictures as well as
 7 distributing them to others. Defendant did not infringe just one or two of Strike
 8 3's motion pictures, but has been recorded infringing 72 movies over an extended
 9 period of time.

10 5. Although Defendant attempted to hide this theft by infringing
 11 Plaintiff's content anonymously, Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP"),
 12 Spectrum, can identify Defendant through his or her IP address 76.95.181.191.

13 6. This is a civil action seeking damages under the United States
 14 Copyright Act of 1976, *as amended*, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Copyright
 15 Act").

16 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

17 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
 18 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (jurisdiction over
 19 copyright actions).

20 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because
 21 Defendant used an Internet Protocol address ("IP address") traced to a physical
 22 address located within this District to commit copyright infringement. Therefore:
 23 (i) Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in this
 24 State; (ii) Defendant resides in this State and/or; (iii) Defendant has engaged in
 25 substantial – and not isolated – business activity in this State.

26 9. Plaintiff used IP address geolocation technology by Maxmind Inc.
 27 ("Maxmind"), an industry-leading provider of IP address intelligence and online
 28 fraud detection tools, to determine that Defendant's IP address traced to a physical

1 address in this District. Over 5,000 companies, along with United States federal
 2 and state law enforcement, use Maxmind's GeoIP data to locate Internet visitors,
 3 perform analytics, enforce digital rights, and efficiently route Internet traffic.

4 10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), venue is proper in this
 5 district because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the
 6 claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) the Defendant resides (and therefore can
 7 be found) in this District and resides in this State. Additionally, venue is proper in
 8 this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because
 9 Defendant or Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

10 **Parties**

11 11. Plaintiff, Strike 3 is a Delaware limited liability company located at
 12 2140 S. Dupont Hwy, Camden, DE.

13 12. Plaintiff currently can only identify Defendant by his or her IP
 14 address. Defendant's IP address is 76.95.181.191. Defendant's name and address
 15 can be provided by Defendant's Internet Service Provider.

16 **Factual Background**

17 ***Plaintiff's Award-Winning Copyrights***

18 13. Strike 3's subscription-based websites proudly boast a paid
 19 subscriber base that is one of the highest of any adult-content sites in the world.
 20 Strike 3 also licenses its motion pictures to popular broadcasters and Strike 3's
 21 motion pictures are some of the highest selling adult DVDs in the United States.

22 14. Strike 3's motion pictures and websites have won numerous awards,
 23 such as "best cinematography," "best new studio," and "adult site of the year."

24 15. Strike 3's motion pictures have had positive global impact, leading
 25 more adult studios to invest in better content, higher pay for performers, and to
 26 treat each performer with respect and like an artist.

27 16. Unfortunately, Strike 3, like a large number of other makers of
 28 motion picture and television works, has a major problem with Internet piracy.

1 Often appearing among the most infringed popular entertainment content on
 2 torrent websites, Strike 3's motion pictures are among the most pirated content in
 3 the world.

4 ***Defendant Used the BitTorrent File Distribution Network***
 5 ***to Infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights***

6 17. BitTorrent is a system designed to quickly distribute large files over
 7 the Internet. Instead of downloading a file, such as a movie, from a single source,
 8 BitTorrent users are able to connect to the computers of other BitTorrent users in
 9 order to simultaneously download and upload pieces of the file from and to other
 10 users.

11 18. BitTorrent's popularity stems from the ability of users to directly
 12 interact with each other to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on
 13 any individual source computer and/or network. It enables Plaintiff's motion
 14 pictures, which are often filmed in state of the art 4kHD, to be transferred quickly
 15 and efficiently.

16 19. To share a movie within the BitTorrent network, a user first uses
 17 BitTorrent software to create a .torrent file from the original digital media file.
 18 This process breaks the original digital media file down into numerous pieces.

19 20. The entire movie file being shared has a hash value (*i.e.*, the "File
 20 Hash"). A hash value is an alpha-numeric value of a fixed length that uniquely
 21 identifies data.

22 21. Hash values are not arbitrarily assigned to data merely for
 23 identification purposes, but rather are the product of a cryptographic algorithm
 24 applied to the data itself. As such, while two identical sets of data will produce
 25 the same cryptographic hash value, any change to the underlying data – no matter
 26 how small – will change the cryptographic hash value that correlates to it.

27 22. To find and re-assemble the pieces of the digital media file, *i.e.*, to
 28 download the file using BitTorrent, a user must obtain the .torrent file for the

1 specific file that has been broken down into pieces.

2 23. Each .torrent file contains important metadata with respect to the
3 pieces of the file. When this data is put into the cryptographic algorithm, it results
4 in a hash value called the “Info Hash.”

5 24. The “Info Hash” is the data that the BitTorrent protocol uses to
6 identify and locate the other pieces of the desired file (in this case, the desired file
7 is the respective file for the infringing motion pictures that are the subject of this
8 action) across the BitTorrent network.

9 25. Using the Info Hash in the metadata of a .torrent file, a user may
10 collect all the pieces of the digital media file that correlates with the specific
11 .torrent file.

12 26. Once a user downloads all of the pieces of that digital media file from
13 other BitTorrent users, the digital media file is automatically reassembled into its
14 original form, ready for playing.

15 27. Plaintiff has developed, owns, and operates infringement detection
16 systems named “VXN Scan” and the “Cross Reference Tool”. Each infringement
17 detection system identifies infringement in two distinct ways.

18 28. The Cross Reference Tool first searched for and obtained .torrent
19 files claiming to be infringing copies of Plaintiff’s works, and then VXN Scan
20 downloaded complete copies of the digital media files that correlate to those
21 .torrent files.

22 29. Plaintiff then compared the completed digital media files to
23 Plaintiff’s copyrighted works to determine whether they are infringing copies of
24 one of Plaintiff’s copyrighted works.

25 30. The digital media files have been verified to contain a digital copy of
26 a motion picture that is identical (or, alternatively, strikingly similar or
27 substantially similar) to Plaintiff’s corresponding original copyrighted Works.

28 31. VXN Scan used the “Info Hash” value, contained within the metadata

1 of the .torrent file which correlated with a digital media file that was determined
2 to be identical (or substantially similar) to a copyrighted work, to download a
3 piece (or pieces) of multiple digital media files from Defendant using the
4 BitTorrent network.

5 32. VXN Scan only downloads pieces of digital media files from
6 Plaintiff's copyrighted works. At no point did VXN Scan upload content to any
7 BitTorrent user. Indeed, it is incapable of doing so.

8 33. While Defendant was using the BitTorrent file distribution network,
9 VXN Scan established multiple direct TCP/IP connections with Defendant's IP
10 address.

11 34. Plaintiff identified these pieces as portions of infringing copies of
12 Strike 3's motion pictures.

13 35. VXN Scan detected, captured and documented Defendant
14 transmitting pieces of Plaintiff's copyrighted motion pictures and recorded those
15 transactions in a Packet Capture ("PCAP") file.

16 36. Plaintiff also recorded infringement using its Cross Reference Tool.

17 37. The Cross Reference Tool is designed on the basis of a distributed
18 hash table ("DHT") and is a class of decentralized distributed systems that
19 provides an identification service similar to a hash table: (key, value) pairs are
20 stored in a DHT, and any participating node can efficiently retrieve the value
21 associated with a given key.

22 38. BitTorrent clients use a DHT to locate peers who are participating in
23 the distribution of the digital media files related to the Info Hash. Specifically, a
24 BitTorrent client locates and connects to the DHT network. That way it registers
25 its own IP address while requesting IP addresses of other peers, which are
26 distributing the same .torrent file.

27 39. Using this process BitTorrent users are able to locate and connect to a
28 number of peers within a particular swarm, and download the data related to each

1 .torrent file.

2 40. A BitTorrent client registers the IP address of the user into the DHT
3 with the purpose of participating in the distribution of constituent pieces of the
4 relevant digital media file.

5 41. The Cross Reference Tool uses servers that locate .torrent files and
6 their related Info Hashes. The servers subsequently download the torrent
7 information from popular torrent websites. The Cross Reference Tool is incapable
8 of downloading any digital media file associated with an Info Hash, and it has
9 only the ability to download torrent file metadata.

10 42. Similar to BitTorrent clients, the Cross Reference Tool uses a DHT
11 to obtain the IP Addresses of peers registered to each .torrent file listed in the
12 DHT.

13 43. The Cross Reference Tool detected and documented that Defendant
14 used the BitTorrent File Distribution Network with the purpose of distributing
15 digital media files that have been determined to be identical (or substantially
16 similar) to Plaintiff's copyrighted motion pictures. At no point did the Cross
17 Reference Tool upload content to any BitTorrent user. Indeed, it is incapable of
18 doing so.

19 44. Both VXN Scan and the Cross Reference Tool independently
20 recorded multiple infringements in the manner described above. Collectively,
21 Plaintiff's proprietary software detected 72 number of infringements.

22 45. Each work infringed by Defendant is listed on Exhibit A and sets
23 forth the Universal Time Coordinated (UTC) time and date of each infringement,
24 along with the Info Hash value obtained from the metadata of the corresponding
25 .torrent file.

26 46. Exhibit A also sets forth relevant copyright information for each
27 work at issue: the date of publication, the date of registration, and the work's
28 copyright registration number. In a showing of good faith, Plaintiff has

1 intentionally omitted the title of the work from this public filing due to the adult
2 nature of its content, but can provide a version of Exhibit A containing the works'
3 titles to the Court or any party upon request.

4 47. Thus, Defendant downloaded, copied, and distributed Plaintiff's
5 Works without authorization.

6 48. Defendant's infringement was continuous and ongoing.

7 49. Plaintiff owns the copyrights to the Works and the Works have been
8 registered with the United States Copyright Office.

9 50. Plaintiff seeks statutory damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under
10 17 U.S.C. § 501 of the United States Copyright Act.

11 **COUNT I**

12 **Direct Copyright Infringement**

13 51. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-50 are hereby re-alleged as
14 if fully set forth herein.

15 52. Plaintiff is the owner of the Works, which is an original work of
16 authorship.

17 53. Defendant copied and distributed the constituent elements of
18 Plaintiff's Works using the BitTorrent protocol.

19 54. At no point in time did Plaintiff authorize, permit or consent to
20 Defendant's distribution of its Works, expressly or otherwise.

21 55. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive
22 right to:

23 (A) Reproduce its Works in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1)
24 and 501;

25 (B) Distribute copies of the Works to the public by sale or other transfer
26 of ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3)
27 and 501;

28 (C) Perform the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4)

1 and 501, by showing the Works' images in any sequence and/or by making the
2 sounds accompanying the Works' audible and transmitting said performance of
3 the work, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of
4 receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform"
5 and "publicly" perform); and

6 (D) Display the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5)
7 and 501, by showing individual images of the works non-sequentially and
8 transmitting said display of the works by means of a device or process to members
9 of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's
10 definition of "publicly" display).

11 56. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the
12 meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

13 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

14 (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's
15 copyrighted Works;

16 (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the digital
17 media files relating to Plaintiff's Works from each of the computers under
18 Defendant's possession, custody or control;

19 (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the infringing
20 copies of the Works Defendant has on computers under Defendant's possession,
21 custody or control;

22 (D) Award Plaintiff statutory damages per infringed work pursuant to
23 17 U.S.C. § 504(a) and (c);

24 (E) Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to
25 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

26 (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and
27 proper.
28

1 DATED this 4th day of March, 2025.

2 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

3 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

4 Lincoln D. Bandlow
5 Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike3 Holdings, LLC

6 **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

7 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

8 DATED this 4th day of March, 2025.

9 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

10 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

11 Lincoln D. Bandlow
12 Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike3 Holdings, LLC